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Abstract

Android is the latest trend in mobile operating systems. Although several smartphones in the market feature Android, it is still in development stage and growing rapidly due to large open source developer community, supported by Google Inc. Even though Android provides a complete set of application, middleware and Linux kernel for the phone applications developer, it does not fully utilize several standard kernel features. Also the Android kernel does not focus on processor specific implementations. The Android kernel fails to integrate and utilize several hardware features offered by modern mobile applications processors such as OMAP, PXA or i.MX series. Hence a mobile phone running existing default Android largely remains unoptimized and inefficient. One of such features untouched by Android kernel is Power Management. Though Android offers basic API support for suspending the device to low power mode, it still neglects other power management features provided by processor as well as standard Linux kernel.

This paper attempts to address the limitations of Android specific to power management at kernel level and proposes possible solutions for active and static power management in Linux to overcome these limitations. The developed solutions for active power management include selection of suitable governor algorithm and modification of its parameters and implementation of a daemon process which performs voltage and frequency scaling. Application level low power techniques for Android are also proposed to help application developers to optimize their software. The objectives of the paper are realized by designing and implementing low power techniques for OMAP3530 based Beagleboard. The functionality of the implemented low power techniques is verified through several test cases using benchmarking applications such as 2D/3D rendering, playing a movie file and decompressing.

The benchmarking applications are capable of putting widely varying workload on the OMAP3530 processor which helps in determining the performance of governor algorithm and user-space daemon process with different parameter settings. The test execution results are presented in terms of performance, execution time, total current consumed, standby time and battery life. From these tests, it is concluded that through low power techniques it is possible to achieve up to 29% increase in battery life and up to 117% increase in standby time at no or very little performance degradation.
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Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ADB</td>
<td>Android Debug Bridge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DVFS</td>
<td>Dynamic Voltage and Frequency Scaling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMAP</td>
<td>Open Multimedia Applications Processor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPP</td>
<td>Operating Performance Points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM</td>
<td>Power Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFS</td>
<td>Root File System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UBIFS</td>
<td>Unsorted Block Images File System</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. INTRODUCTION

With rapid advancements in chip technology and software, the mobile phone technology has grown ‘out-of-box’ and a mobile phone is no more used just for making calls or messaging. With inclusion of mobile internet connectivity, gaming, multimedia applications and not to mention the increased usage of social networking services, the smartphone has become ‘soul-mate’ for millions of users. Although OEMs of smartphones have taken care to provide ‘acceptable’ battery-life fulfilling the need for portable computing, entertainment and communication, processor specific power optimization features provided by chip vendors have not been utilized properly. The focus of this paper is to fill the gap by designing and implementing low power techniques for an ‘Android’ based smartphone. Although Android has been chosen as the platform for implementation, the suggested low power techniques apply equally to other Linux based mobile software architectures. Android has been chosen among others for its rapidly increasing popularity in the smartphone market and a promising software framework which is open source. Following section introduces the reader to Android framework in detail.

1.1 What is Android?

Android is a software stack which includes Linux kernel as underlying operating system, middleware software such as application frameworks and libraries with applications specific to mobile platform providing a complete set of software for a mobile platform. Although Android is built on top of Linux kernel and relies on the kernel for its various OS subsystems such as scheduler, memory management, process execution, device drivers, networking support etc., it adds its own enhancements to the mainline kernel. So even though some research publications claim Android itself as an operating system, it is only a software framework or stack built on top of Linux kernel.

2. SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT

2.1 System Requirements

- The chosen hardware platform should run Linux kernel with Android drivers and Android
The chosen processor should include working power management and frequency/voltage scaling drivers in the kernel and support low power modes such as sleep and deep sleep.

Low power techniques should cover active and passive power management at Linux and Android level and the architecture independent software should be portable across different hardware platforms.

The Android level techniques should give proper coding guidelines to make use of Android power management framework for efficient application development in Java.

### 2.2 Hardware Requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Min. Reqmts</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Processor</td>
<td>ARM926 based</td>
<td>Android is designed targeting primarily at ARM based handset</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memory</td>
<td>128MB RAM/256 MB Flash</td>
<td>For runtime memory requirements and to boot Android root file system from NAND/NOR flash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storage (optional)</td>
<td>SD/MMC CARD</td>
<td>For storing user apps and multimedia data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary Display</td>
<td>DVI-D monitor or QVGA TFT LCD with touch screen</td>
<td>Android supports touch screen interface and QVGA/HVGA displays of up to 2.8” screen size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debug Interface</td>
<td>Minicom or USB-NET based ADB</td>
<td>For pushing appln files and to browse RFS and kernel files</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navigation</td>
<td>QWERTY keypad or USB KBD / mouse</td>
<td>Android supports qwerty keypad with 5-way navigation by default</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2.3 Software Requirements

Following are the tools/utils requirements for Linux environment to download and build Android root file system and kernel [12],

- Git 1.5.4 or newer and the GNU Privacy Guard
- JDK 5.0, update 12 or higher
- flex, bison, gperf, libbsd-dev, libesd0-dev, libwxgtk2.6-dev(optional), build-essential, zip, curl
- For developing Java applications for Android following software packages are required,
  - Android SDK (Linux / Windows version)
  - Eclipse IDE (Java version)
  - Android Development Tools (ADT)
  - Android Emulator

### 2.4 Design Specifications

The Linux power management design specifications for the present work are partially derived from CELF Specifications V1.0R2, which is commonly applicable across different types of consumer electronics products including mobile phones. The CELF specifications classify Linux power management into two categories,

- Platform suspend/resume or Static power management
- Active power management

Platform suspend/resume defines static or passive power management of the system, i.e. when processor is not executing any process or in other words user is not interacting with the phone. Static pm refers to power saving during longer idle periods, where as dynamic power management refers to power saving during very short idle periods [11].

This paper focuses on platform suspend/resume and dynamic power management. With respect to these two features, following design specifications are derived,

**Static Power Management Specifications**

- System should enter suspend or low power modes as supported by processor, such as standby and deep sleep modes
- 'Standby/wakeup' button to enter and exit suspend state
- Memory should be retained during sleep and deep sleep modes
- Turn off unused clocks and voltage sources during low power modes
- System should be capable of waking up and return to run mode, either due to 'wakeup' button press or due to preconfigured time out
- System should have acceptable wake up latency

**Active Power Management Specifications**

- Efficient kernel-space or user-space power policy manager or governor algorithm should be selected for active power management
- Selected policy manager or governor should provide user programmable settings during runtime to adapt the policy manager behavior to dynamic load requirements

**Android Power Management Specifications**

The Android power management design specifications are defined by Android Open Source Project in its Platform Developer's Guide. The guide states that, ‘Android supports its own Power Management (on top of the standard Linux Power Management) designed with the premise that the CPU shouldn't consume power if no applications or services require power’.

### 2.5 System Block Diagram

The figure 1 illustrates different layers involved in the power optimization design specific to Android. The dotted blocks represent the layers involved in the design and implementation of low power techniques.
As shown in the figure 1, Android applications make use of APIs provided in the Android Power Manager Class, which in-turn has rooted and hard-coded access to Linux kernel level drivers, functions and other interfaces (/proc and /sys).

![Fig. 1 System block diagram](image)

Active Power Management: The Linux active PM part is explained in detail in this section. Active PM refers to when the processor is executing applications or in other words user is engaged with the phone.

The omap-pm-2.6.29 branch supports frequency and voltage scaling and other PM features. But the limitation is that the Ondemand governor only allows minimum sampling rate to be 5 seconds where as minimum sampling rate of Conservative governor is fixed at 50 seconds. It is not feasible with a sampling rate of 50 seconds, to achieve acceptable power saving by frequency scaling technique. Hence this paper only relies on Ondemand governor for employing frequency/voltage scaling method as one of the low power techniques. The workaround solution for having lower minimum sampling rate value is to write a user space daemon process which would function similar to Ondemand or Conservative and offer lower sampling rate values. This process will calculate the system workload with a sampling rate as selected by user and perform frequency scaling as per the load requirements. Such a process can be implemented using Userspace governor which exports cpufreq interfaces to user land though sysfs. This paper attempts to develop a daemon process with important parameters borrowed from Ondemand/Conservative such as up_threshold and down_threshold.

User-space Daemon Process: The user-space daemon process shown in figure 2 makes use of signals to generate timing interrupt which is configurable by the user. This decides the sampling rate value. For example if the signal raises an alarm every 1 second, which means the sampling rate is equal to 1 second. The process stays in sleep mode until signal alarm is raised. On raise of signal alarm, the process reads /proc/stat interface and extracts following parameters,

- User time
- Nice time
- System time
- Idle time
- IO wait time

Nice time and IO wait times are ignored as test cases used do not consider niced processes as well as io bound processes. Hence nice time and IO wait times are added with idle time to obtain effective idle time. Active percent is calculated as follows,

\[
\text{Active percent} = 100 - \frac{\text{Idle time elapsed} \times 100}{\text{Total time elapsed}}
\]

**2.6 Design of Low Power Techniques**

This section deals with design of Linux specific low power techniques and Android specific low power techniques. Linux specific implementations run at kernel level and are fairly independent of Android layers.

Linux Low Power Techniques: As per the design specifications Linux power management is divided into active and static power management.
Static Power Management - For using omap-pm-2.6.29 branch for various power management features, debug file system has to be enabled first [13].

- To mount debugfs, 
  # mount -t debugfs debugfs /debug

  As mentioned previously in design specifications, following static power management features are implemented for OMAP3530 processor [13].
  - Suspend- To suspend the system to low power mode with full chip retention during suspend mode, following instruction need to be used, 
    # echo mem > /sys/power/state
  - Resume- To wake-up from suspend mode a time out setting can be used, 
    # echo '15' > /debug/pm_debug/wakeup_timer_seconds
  - To wake-up from suspend mode 'user' button on Beagleboard can be pressed as an interrupt

To wake-up from suspend mode through serial console, user need to press and hold any of key on host keyboard for few seconds.

Data Retention-

To retain data during deep sleep mode, following instructions need to be used,

- Sleep while idle - System enters low power mode, when no activity is observed for longer time.
  # echo 1 > /debug/pm_debug/sleep_while_idle
- Voltage off while idle – turn off VDD1 and VDD2 voltage sources upon entering low power mode
  # echo 1 > /debug/pm_debug/voltage_off_while_idle
- Enable off mode- turn off power domain transitions upon entering low power mode
  # echo 1 > /debug/pm_debug/enable_off_mode

Android Low Power Techniques- Wake-lock is the mechanism developed by Google to manage the android power management framework. A wake-lock prevents the system from entering suspend or other low-power states when the wake-lock is active. By gaining a wake lock the android essentially indicates that it needs the CPU attention (and other mobile hardware resources) and the CPU should not slow down to the state saving power. Thus Android applications and services are required to gain wake locks in order to request CPU resources [7].

A locked wake-lock, depending on its type, prevents the system from entering suspend or other low-power states. There are two settings for a wake-lock:

![Fig. 2 Flowchart for daemon process](image-url)
• **WAKE_LOCK_SUSPEND**: prevents the system from suspending
• **WAKE_LOCK_IDLE**: prevents going into a low-power idle state where response times are large

Android kernel provides the APIs such as `wake_lock` and `wake_unlock` to handle these locks.

All Android power management calls follow the same basic steps [7]:
• Acquire handle to the `PowerManager` service
• Create a wake lock and specify the power management flags for screen, timeout, etc
• Acquire wake lock of suitable type mentioned earlier
• Perform rest of the application’s operation
• Finally release the previously acquired wake lock.

There are different types of wake locks used under different circumstances and as per needs of the application:
• **ACQUIRE_CAUSES_WAKEUP**
• **FULL_WAKE_LOCK**
• **ON_AFTER_RELEASE**
• **PARTIAL_WAKE_LOCK**
• **SCREEN_BRIGHT_WAKE_LOCK**
• **SCREEN_DIM_WAKE_LOCK**

It would be advantageous to use lowest level wake-lock as much as possible. Also specifying user-defined timeouts rather than the default values gives better and results. Wake-locks written in Java within an application is available in [7].

Wake locks can be implemented in different ways at the Android kernel level (these can be controlled using Android standard APIs used by applications).

• **Driver API**: A driver can use the wakelock API by adding a wakelock variable to its state and calling `wake_lock_init`, `wake_lock_destroy`, `wake_lock_timeout`
• **User-space API**: There is also a Kernel user-space interface. Writing a wake lock name to `/sys/power/wake_lock` establishes a lock with that name, to release the lock we need to write the name to `/sys/power/wake_unlock`

Power management can be achieved at its best by handling the low level (hardware component level) power management by the applications, i.e. putting the device to sleep when not being used instead of waiting for auto suspend. The best solution would be to combine Linux specific low power techniques with Android specific techniques to achieve better results.

3. **TESTING AND VALIDATION**

3.1 Test Plan

The test plan includes testing the functionality of hardware platform and software such as Android porting to Beagleboard, NFS sharing between host and target, working of ADB, USB keyboard interface and working of Linux power management drivers through debugfs and sysfs interface. Then the implemented low power techniques are tested for their functionality by running test applications with governor or user-space daemon process executing in the background. The `cpufreq` driver source code is modified to output kernel debug messages whenever a frequency change occurs. Test scripts are written to run test applications with different parameter settings for up/down thresholds. An example test script would include following steps,

• switch to Ondemand/userspace governor
• set up/down threshold values (Ondemand or daemon process)
• start daemon process
• log `time_in_state`
• execute test application 1
• sleep for 10 seconds
• execute test application 2
• log `time_in_state`

`sys/devices/system/cpu/cpu0/cpufreq/stats/time_in_state` interface provides the amount of time spent in each of the frequencies supported by this CPU. Time units here is 10ms. By logging `time_in_state` before and after the execution of test applications we will come to know in what frequency how much time was spent during the execution of all test applications, which helps to calculate total current consumed which in turn helps to calculate battery life.

3.2 Test Environment Setup

The figure 3 shows the test setup. Beagleboard is interfaced with necessary peripherals to operate Android such as USB mouse (optional) and USB keyboard. Since Beagleboard is not capable of supplying current to USB devices connected to OTG port (limitation is 100mA), a self-powered USB hub is required to which mouse and keyboard or any other USB devices can be connected. The Beagleboard only supports HDMI interface. Hence a HDMI-to-DVI-D cable is used to display running Android screen on DVI-D monitor. The Beagleboard is connected to host machine running minicom utility using RS232 debug port. From minicom, it is possible to browse the rootfs and kernel from host keyboard in command mode only. A voltmeter (not shown in figure) is connected across jumper J2 on the Beagleboard which taps current supplied to the board (either from USB or 5V power socket).

3.3 Test Applications

Based on the literature reviews, following test applications were chosen for testing the implemented low power techniques.
2D benchmark suite
- Arcs
- Fill rate
- Circles
- Rectangles
- Alpha blending

3D benchmark suite
- Colored cube
- Lighting
- Textures
- Blending
- Fog
- Reflection
- Multi-texture
- Tea pot
- Gears

Decompression
- 10MB .tar.gz file
- Movie play (.avi)
- 480x272 pixel resolution
- 25 fps frame rate

2D tests can be considered as low processor intensive applications; where as 3D tests can be considered as high processor intensive applications. Both are capable of pushing processor workload to near 100%. Apart from above tests, decompression and playing a movie file of .avi format are also carried out as test cases to see how governor and daemon process behave for very low processor intensive applications.

These two applications are capable of pushing processor workload to more than 40-60% but less than 90%. Initially all tests are carried out keeping processor running constantly at a fixed OPP, with no policy or daemon running in background. Although OMAP3530 supports OPP5 (600MHz), it is considered as ‘over-clocking’ and not recommended due to stability reasons. And also android-omap-kernel-2.6.29 does not support OPP5. Hence all tests are carried out for OPP1 to OPP4 only. The performance of 2D and 3D benchmarking applications is measured in terms of Frames per Second (fps) and the total execution time in seconds, where as performance of decompressing and movie play test applications is measured in terms of execution time in seconds. Current consumed by the board at particular OPP is measured first. Then upon running the test applications, using sys/cpufreq/stats/time_in_state interface. It is calculated in what OPP how long the processor stayed during test application execution. Accordingly total current consumed in each test case is calculated to arrive at battery life value, assuming a battery of capacity of 1000 mAh.

3.4 Battery Life Calculation

A battery of 1000mAh capacity is assumed for calculations. To obtain the battery life for a particular case, following calculations are carried out,

- \( x = \frac{\text{execution time (sec)}}{3600} = \text{execution time in units of hour} \)
- \( y = x \times \text{OPP current} = \text{total current consumption at particular frequency} \)
- \( z = \frac{1000}{y} = \text{battery life in units of hours} \)
Figure 4 shows the test results for decompression and video play. At OPP1, video (avi format) was not able to play. Hence it is neglected. In comparison to OPP4, Ondemand with up threshold value of 90 and user-space daemon with up threshold value of 90 and down_threshold value of 80 give better battery life of more than 90 hours which is comparable with that of OPP2.

Figure 6 shows the standby time (hours) achieved by applying different combinations of static power management techniques.

From the testing carried out, results obtained are summarized as below,

- For high processor intensive applications (2D/3D), Ondemand governor gives 10% to 16% increase in battery life compared to OPP4
- For lower processor intensive applications (uncompressing/movie play), Ondemand gives 22% to 29% increase in battery life compared to OPP4

### 3.5 Test Results

Figure 4 shows the test results in terms of battery life measured in hours. Compared to OPP1 (lowest frequency 125MHz), all other scenarios give lesser battery life which is obvious. But by default cpufreq enabled Android kernel is configured with OPP4. Hence it gives best possible performance but at the cost of higher power consumption. Therefore power and performance comparisons carried out are with OPP4. Ondemand governor with up_threshold value of 40, 60 and 90 give battery life of more than 200 hours for 2D test cases as evident from figure 4. Similarly for 3D test cases, the battery life obtained is more than 100 hours.

### 4. CONCLUSIONS

Android is considered to be the future mobile operating system. It offers end-to-end software framework with middleware and libraries along with some of the common telephony applications for the smartphone developer. The present work exploits the
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### 4. CONCLUSIONS

Android is considered to be the future mobile operating system. It offers end-to-end software framework with middleware and libraries along with some of the common telephony applications for the smartphone developer. The present work exploits the
features of Linux and Android focusing on its power management capabilities. Limitations of Android related to power management were identified and design specifications arrived at to overcome the limitations, as per the recommendations given by Consumer Electronics Linux Forum.

To achieve the objectives, a thorough literature study of Android stack, ARM architecture and various low power techniques possible for Linux and Android was carried out. To prepare development setup for implementation and testing of low power techniques Linux and Android were ported to OMAP3530 based target board. Necessary device drivers for clock and power modules of OMAP3530 were ported from omap-pm branch to android-omap kernel. Linux active and static power management features were implemented and tested for their functionality. A daemon process was designed and implemented as part of Linux active power management. Various test cases were carried out for active power management and arrived at results in terms of current consumption, performance, total execution time and battery life. From test results it was concluded that active power management gives up to 29% increase in battery life while static power management gives up to 117% increase in device standby time.

The proposed work gives Linux power management solution which is applicable across any mobile operating system based on Linux and not just Android. The project offers further opportunities for the delegate and the research community to continue the work and come up with inventive and better solutions for saving power of mobile devices.
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